## REMARKS

## Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §102

The examiner rejected claims 1, 5 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Mi et al. The examiner objected to claims 2 and 3, but indicated they would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Applicants have canceled claims 1, 5, and 6, and amended dependent claim 2 into independent form. As a result, applicants respectfully request the examiner to allow that amended claim (and its dependent claim – claim 3) to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March <u>23</u>, 2005

Mark Seeley

Reg. No: 32,299

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANTS

Intel Corporation Mail Stop SC4-202 2200 Mission College Blvd. Santa Clara, CA 95052-8119 (408) 765-7382

## **CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION**

(37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on March 23, 2005.

Name of Person Sending Racsimile

Signature